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Abstract

With the rapid development of Internet technology, the era of education informationization has arrived. With massive education resources, users are faced with the problem of information overload. This essay takes the management of educational resources and the big data which form the platform as the background, and designs a personalized recommendation algorithm of educational resources according to the users’ own characteristics. Personalized recommendation algorithm of EDX platform and personalized paper recommendation of CiteULike are used to verify the rationality and effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.
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In the era of Internet education era, large-scale Internet education resources have come into being, in which the most representative one is the massive open online courses (MOOC), attracting millions of registered users, and their learning behavior can be regarded as the learning big data.

In the face of massive educational resources, the majority of users choose learning content through keyword searching. However, due to the information overload, this measure is restricted by many factors. Users may not find their desired learning resources.

This essay takes the management of educational resources and the big data which form the platform as the background (GarcíA & García, 2005; Ponsard, Touzani, & Majchrowski, 2018). Through analyzing learning the characteristics of the user, the user item rating matrix, and based on LDA topic model, collaborative recommendation algorithm (Calverley & Shephard, 2003; Constantin, Dahimene, Mouza, & Grossetti, 2016), the personalized recommendation algorithm of educational resources has been designed. It can help users get more learning resource recommendations after entering what they want to search the theme, so that the users can find relevant resources in a more convenient way. The essay takes the personalized education resources recommendation on edx platform and the individualized paper recommendation on CiteULike platform as examples. Through comparing these to the other two kinds of recommendation algorithms, this paper verified the rationality and validity of Topic-Based CF.

**Design of personalized recommendation algorithm of educational resources**

**Related technologies**

**User-item rating matrix.** Many recommendation algorithms are based on the user item rating matrix, and so do the algorithms in this essay (Grigal & Others, 1997). Its general form is as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(1)

among them: \(a_{ij}\) indicates the rating of item \(i\) for user \(i\)

The matrix can usually be rated by explicit or implicit ways (Shriner & Destefano, 2003). The implicit rating method, because of its wide application range, is commonly used. Implicit rating method can be divided into mean value method and collaborative filtering based rating method. Based on the mean value method, this paper puts forward the user-item implicit evaluation method.

**LDA topic model.** LDA is a document theme generation model, which is composed of three layers (Pretifrontczak & Bricker, 2000). Word is the smallest structural unit; theme is composed of words; document can be regarded as the set of articles. The so-called generative model (Espin, Deno, & Albayrakkaymak, 1998) refers to the probability distribution of the upper structure of the word, theme and document. In this paper, we use the model to classify the themes in the educational resource database, and figure 1 is the graph model of the LDA model (Smith, 1990).
Figure 1. LDA theme model

Note: $\alpha$: Distribution vector parameter; $\Theta$: theme vector; $z$: subject variable; $\beta$: the probability density of the word corresponding to the subject $z$ under the condition; $w$: word variable.

Collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm

Collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm (Yates et al., 2011) identifies and recommends relative resources that may interest the users based on their behavior and interests. Among the earliest recommendations used in e-commerce, Amazon is a typical application of collaborative filtering algorithm which is now widely used in various fields. The user-item rating matrix mentioned above is the basis of this recommendation algorithm.

Collaborative filtering recommendation algorithms can be divided into three categories (Coifman & Wickerhauser, 1992). Since the number of users of educational resources is larger than the amount of resources, this paper is based on the item collaborative filtering recommendation algorithm, and the detailed process is shown in Fig. 2.

User-item implicit estimation

The educational resources personalized recommendation algorithm in this paper is based on user-item rating matrix. Based on previous rating method, the paper designs an implicit estimation method, which can reflect the users’ learning characteristics, and introduces the concept of information entropy and the forgetting curve to distribute of user behavior and time weight (Dolog, Simon & Nejdl, 2008).

This paper analyzes the courses and relative data provided by Berkeley University and Harvard University on edx platform in 2015-2016. Fig. 3 is an example of the EDX platform. According to the behavior of four
different types of users (registered users, users who have completed the courses, users who are browsing, and users who are learning the user with different behavior) (Köck & Paramythis, 2011), user-item implicit rating estimation method is established (Yu, 2012). As shown in Figure 4, user behaviors include the frequency and the duration of the interactions between the user and the curriculum. The specific process is shown in Fig. 5.

Figure 3. EDX course platform example

![EDX course platform example](image)

Figure 4. Four users' inclusion relationships and statistics

Fig. 4 shows the containment relationships between different users, which shows that although there are a large number of users (about 850000) have registered the online learning platform, half of them are browsing (only about 45000 people have finished learning, the number of users who are learning is less than 80000).

![Four users' inclusion relationships and statistics](image)

Figure 5. User - Item Rating Estimation Process

![User - Item Rating Estimation Process](image)
User interest model based on topic model

Analyze hash-tag (system information and user-defined label) under educational resources based on LDA topic model; establish topic model by combining project - evaluation matrix; estimate parameters under topic model by adopting parameter estimation methods of Gibbs sampling (Chen, Lee & Chen, 2005); then build up user interest model based on topic model with the guidance of user project rating matrix (Xu, Wang & Wang 2005). Please check Image 6 for main process. This model will show users’ preferences on learning better, and will reduce the similarity calculation under recommendation algorithm.

![Diagram of user interest model](image6)

*Figure 6. Subject-based user interest model*

![Algorithmic flow chart](image7)

*Figure 7. An algorithmic flow chart for recommending educational resources for user i*
Process of personalized recommendation algorithm (topic-based CF) for educational resources

Based the above-mentioned LDA topic model, recommendation algorithm of collaborative filtering, user-project implicit rating estimation, and topic-based users’ interest model, personalized recommendation algorithm (Topic-Based CF) for educational resources is presented (Hsu, 2008); please check Image 7 for concrete process. The algorithm takes collaborative filtering as basic idea, recommends results of behavior-based items recommendation and results of topic-based items recommendation to gain recommendation list, then get recommendation result. Detailed process of recommendation is generated by doing cloud computing based on big data formed by educational resources platforms. It will not be discussed in detail here.

Case analysis on personalized recommendation of educational resources

Evaluation methods

The paper will adopt three indicators, i.e. accuracy rate (Formula 2), recall rate (Formula 3) and F1 score (Formula 3), to evaluate recommendation results.

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{\sum_{u \in U} |R(u) \cap I(u)|}{\sum_{u \in U} |R(u)|} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{\sum_{u \in U} |R(u) \cap I(u)|}{\sum_{u \in U} |I(u)|} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{F1 score} = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (4)
\]

among them: u: user; U: User collection; R(u): The recommended item collection for the user u recommendation algorithm; I(u): A collection of items that the user actually generates behavior

Adopt Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm and other two recommendation algorithms (Item-Based CF algorithm and Behavior-Based CF algorithm) to select N, the length of different recommendation lists; compare the accuracy rate of N and F1 score value, then verify the effectiveness and rationality of Topic-Based CF algorithm presented by the paper.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Type of data</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>Course ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>User ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Whether the user is registered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Whether the user has studied more than 1/2 of the course section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Whether the user has completed the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Float</td>
<td>The user-course score is represented by 0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Time Stamp</td>
<td>Start learning time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Time Stamp</td>
<td>Last login time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Int</td>
<td>The number of days of interaction with the course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Int</td>
<td>Watch the number of video resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Int</td>
<td>Participate in the discussion sessions in the course forum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Personalized course recommendation of educational resources based on EDX platform

Experimental Data. Select data about users’ behaviors and courses opened by Harvard University and Berkeley College on edx platform during the academic year of 2015-2016; it totally contains 68,577KB, 641321 data, and each data includes contents shown on Table 1.
Analysis on experimental results. According to methods mentioned above, the paper firstly does weight analysis on data of users’ behaviors by adopting weight allocation methods of information entropy; results are shown on Image 8. Then the paper obtains user - course score matrix based on the results of weight distribution.

![Image 8. User Behavior Weight](image)

In edx data set, topics of courses do not have explicit tags, but they could be gained by checking ID of course; for example, topic classification of Harvard University on edx website is Computer Science; course under Computer Science is Css Basics, and its corresponding ID is HarvardX/CB21X/2015_autumn. Therefore, it could be deemed that distribution probability between topic and course is 1.

Distribute data set at random; among which, training set occupies 2/3, and test set holds 1/3. Based on user - course score and course - topic distribution, the paper adopts process of personalized recommendation algorithm for educational resources which is specially designed under the paper to get the recommendation list shown on Table 2; on the left is users’ ID information, and on the right is the information of recommended course ID.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User ID address</th>
<th>Recommended course ID address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130364489</td>
<td>6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130447948</td>
<td>5.02x,6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130491149</td>
<td>6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130457959</td>
<td>5.02x,8.HRev,7.00x,3.092x,6.00x,14.72x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130265316</td>
<td>6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13024568</td>
<td>8.02x,6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13055502</td>
<td>8.02x,6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130079457</td>
<td>8.02x8.HRev,7.00x,3.091x,6.00x,14.72x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13011546</td>
<td>6.00x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13004867</td>
<td>6.02x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopt selection method of TOP-N, which is select top N from all recommended results as the representative; as N changes, accuracy rate, recall rate and F1 score of algorithm change accordingly. Image 9 and Image 10 are the comparison of accuracy rate and F1 score of three algorithms when N changes.
Analysis on recommended results of personalized course of educational resources on edx platform:

(1) Compared with other two algorithms, accuracy rate of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm presented by the paper is improved when N is added; and the increasing amplitude is obviously larger than the ones of the other two algorithms.

(2) F1 score value of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm is obviously better than the one of the other two algorithms. F1 score value could be used to comprehensively evaluate the accuracy rate and recall rate of algorithm, therefore, it could be found that effectiveness of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm presented by the paper is superior to the ones of the other two algorithms.

(3) Based on two indicators, i.e. accuracy rate and F1 score value, accuracy and effectiveness of recommended results of personalized course of educational resources on edx platform could be obtained. It also indicates that user - project score estimation and topic-based users’ interest model presented by the paper meet with actual demand.
Personalized paper recommendation on CiteULike platform

Experimental data. CiteULike is a platform which has various kinds of paper resources and combines paper presentation, retrieval, and user-defined tag together. Please check Image 11; users are able to use such platform to store, manage and share their papers.

![Image 11. CiteULike Paper resource platform](https://example.com/image11.png)

The paper select data of 5673 users, 16988 paper resources, 203988 users’ behavior records about paper projects, and 16983 user-defined tags from CiteULike as experimental data. Please check Table 3 for specific contents of data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File name</th>
<th>Data description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tag.ietm.dat</td>
<td>Tag - the paper data, each row of data including the paper ID and the corresponding paper label information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rawtext.dat</td>
<td>The original data set includes the full text of the captured paper, the label, and the user data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tags.dat</td>
<td>The tag data set, including the tag ID and the corresponding tag word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users.dat</td>
<td>User - The paper shows the score matrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis on experimental results. The paper divides user - paper behavior data into test set and training set at random; then the paper adopts process of personalized recommendation algorithm (Topic-Basic CF) for educational resources presented under this paper to firstly estimate user - paper score, then establish user - topic model and user - paper score matrix, and finally gain recommended results based on related algorithm.

Similar to experiment about recommendation of personalized course of educational resources on edx platform, the paper will compare accuracy rate (Image 12) and F1 score (Image 13) of three algorithms when N changes.
Analysis on recommended results of personalized papers on CiteUlike platform:

(1) Among three algorithms, value of accuracy rate and F1 score of recommendation algorithm (Topic-Based CF) presented by the paper increase when value of N increases; and the accuracy rate of Topic-Based CF is obviously higher than the ones of the other two algorithms. It indicates that the introduction of topic-based project recommendation model will greatly improve the accuracy rate of recommended results.

(2) Comparing Image 13 with Image 14, it could be found that accuracy rate of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm is far higher than F1 score; according to analysis on the above-mentioned Formula (4), F1 score is affected by both accuracy rate and recall rate; therefore, it could be found that recall rate of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm does not increase obviously as value of N increase; so further optimization could be done to improve F1 score of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm.
(3) According to experimental results, it could be found that effectiveness is obvious when topic-based user interest model is introduced into Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm.

Conclusion

The paper takes big data generated by online educational resources platforms such as MOOC as the background, analyzes users’ characteristics of learning and behaviors, and combines related skills of previous recommendation algorithms to design personalized recommendation algorithm for educational resources (Topic-Based CF); then the paper takes personalized course recommendation for educational resources on edx platform and personalized paper recommendation on CiteULike platform as the examples to verify the effectiveness and rationality of Topic-Based CF, and to gain the following achievements:

(1) Taking previous recommendation algorithms for reference, the paper designs project - implicit rating estimation, and introduces concepts of forgetting curve and information entropy to distribute user behavior weight reasonably.

(2) Design user interest model of topic model based on LDA topic model; this model obviously improves the accuracy rate and F1 score of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm.

(3) Do experiment about personalized course recommendation for educational resources on edx platform and experiment about personalized paper recommendation on CiteULike to verify the advantage and rationality of Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm.

(4) Recall rate of personalized recommendation algorithm (Topic-Based CF) for educational resources is relatively low; it shall start with recall rate to further improve and perfect Topic-Based CF recommendation algorithm.

(5) Based on personalized recommendation algorithm (Topic-Based CF) for educational resources, users will be able to find needed resources easily, quickly and accurately; purpose of teaching students in accordance with their natural abilities will be finally realized.
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